

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                              | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO |
|--|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|
| 10/082,502                                   | 10/19/2001  | Paul O. Sheppard     | 97-11C2 4613            |                 |
| 7590 10/06/2004                              |             | EXAMINER             |                         |                 |
| Shelby J Walker                              |             |                      | NICKOL, GARY B          |                 |
| ZymoGenetics, Inc. 1201 Eastlake Avenue East |             |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER    |
| Seattle, WA 98102                            |             |                      | 1642                    |                 |
|  |             |                      | DATE MAILED: 10/06/2004 |                 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   | Application No.  | Applicant(s)   |  |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Notice of Abandonment   | 10/082,502   | SHEPPARD ET AL.                                      |  |  |  |  |
| Notice of Abandonment   | Examiner   | Art Unit   |  |  |  |  |
|   | Gary B. Nickol Ph.D.   | 1642   |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| This application is abandoned in view of:   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office     (a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of M period for reply (including a total extension of time of, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed to the proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed to the proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed to the proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed to the proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed to the proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed to the proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed to the proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on | lailing or Transmission dated<br>month(s)) which expired on                      |  |  |  |  |  |
| (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C  | consists only of: (1) a timely filed an<br>Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); c | nendment which places the                            |  |  |  |  |
| (c) A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below).  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (d) No reply has been received.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85)  | 5).  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>(a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was</li></ul>  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance  | of \$ is due.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (c) $\square$ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no  | t been received.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requ<br/>Allowability (PTO-37).</li> </ol>  | ired by, and within the three-month p  | period set in, the Notice of                         |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>(a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received on</li> <li>after the expiration of the period for reply.</li> </ul>   | (with a Certificate of Mailing or Tran   | smission dated), which is                            |  |  |  |  |
| (b) \( \sum \) No corrected drawings have been received.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| . ☐ The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants.  | attorney or agent of record, the assi  | gnee of the entire interest, or all of               |  |  |  |  |
| 5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.  | attorney or agent (acting in a represe   | entative capacity under 37 CFR                       |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference<br/>of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claim</li> </ol>   |  | e the period for seeking court review                |  |  |  |  |
| 7. The reason(s) below:   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | GARY NICKOL<br>PRIMARY EXAMINER  | Gary B. Nickol Ph.D. Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1642 |  |  |  |  |